

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/838,618	04/19/2001	Brett T. Haarala	BSC-165	3578	
21323 7:	590 12/20/2002				
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BUECHNER, PATRICK M		
BOSTON, MA	BOSTON, MA 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754		
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		- 4-1(-)				
Office Action Commons	9/838,618	HAARALA ET AL.				
	aminer	Art Unit				
	trick M Buechner	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) Page Page 1 of Communication (s) filed on 20 September 2002						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 September 2002</u> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-42 and 47-60</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-46</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.6.		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/838,618

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species 26 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 1-42 and 47-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.
- 3. Applicant's contentions that claim 1 reads on Species 26 and is also generic are incorrect. Claim requires a "nonradial" slit valve which is not shown in Figures 30A-B (Species 26), or discussed in the relevant passages describing Figures 30A-B. For at least this reason Claim 1 is not generic and also does not read on Species 26.

Drawings

- 4. The drawings are objected to because:
 - In Figure 30A, it appears as though the slit cuts through the collar, even though applicant does not disclose this to be the case.
 - In Figure 30A the angle of the slit (372) does not correlate with the angle of the slit in Figure 30B.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/838,618

Art Unit: 3754

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 21, lines 29-30, all occurrences of the reference numeral "272" should be changed to --372--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 43, 44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Figure 9 of Desai (US 5,857,464).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,618

Art Unit: 3754

9. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desai in view of Phelps et al. (US 6,419,659).

Desai discloses all the limitations of claim 45 with the exception of a collar disposed at the distal end of the catheter.

Phelps et al. teaches a catheter (10) with a collar (46) disposed adjacent its distal most end.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the catheter of Desai with a collar as taught by Phelps et al.

Doing so would provide an attending physician with means for determining the location of the catheter by magnetic or electromagnetic means (Phelps column 4, lines 30-35).

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoon (US 5,797,888) discloses a catheter with a slit valve on the convex distal end of a catheter. Leidich (US 584,091) discloses that tricuspid slit valves are notoriously old in the art of valves.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick M Buechner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2602. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:00-4:30) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3754

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Patrick M Buechner Examiner Art Unit 3754 Page 5

PB 12/14/02

December 14, 2002

Gene Mancene Supervisory Patent Examin